



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,557	11/07/2003	Kang Soo Seo	1740-0000026/US	8241
30593	7590	02/04/2009	EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 8910 RESTON, VA 20195				FINDLEY, CHRISTOPHER G
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2621				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/04/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/702,557	SEO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	CHRISTOPHER FINDLEY	2621	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 October 2008.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-30 and 32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-30 and 32 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                   |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                                                                                                                       | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                                                                                                   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .                                    |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/22/2008, 8/05/2008, 9/04/2008, 10/09/2008,</u><br><u>10/29/2008, 12/31/2008</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |



## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/09/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
2. Re claim 1, the Applicant contends that Kaneshige does not disclose a playlist area for storing playlist files. The Examiner respectfully notes that the claim language disputed by the Applicant was not previously presented. However, the Examiner also respectfully disagrees with the Applicant's assertion. In view of the amended claims, the Examiner directs the Applicant to the program chain information PGCI disclosed by Kaneshige (Kaneshige: Fig. 28, corresponding to the program chain information table of Fig. 26) as teaching the playlist claimed. Kaneshige states that the program chain information includes program chain general information, a program chain command table, and cell playback information, wherein the program chain general information further describes the number of programs and the number of cells for each program chain (Kaneshige: column 16, lines 21-33). Accordingly, the Examiner now directs the Applicant to the video title set cell address table VTS\_C\_ADT as teaching the clip information files (Kaneshige: Fig. 26, VTS\_C\_ADT). Kaneshige discloses that the video title set cell address table VTS\_C\_ADT is a video title set cell address table, in which cell address information is described (Kaneshige: column 15, lines 60-61).
3. Re claim 1, the Applicant also contends that Kaneshige does not disclose that the clip information file, the playlist file, and the stream file are logically separate. However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. Kaneshige illustrates in Fig. 26 that the

video title set is divided into sections, wherein the video title set information section VTSI is separate from the video object set VTSTT\_VOBS and the video title set information section VTSI is further divided into sections which include a video title set cell address table VTS\_C\_ADT separate from a video title set program chain information table VTS\_PGCIT (Kaneshige: Fig. 26).

4. Re claim 1, the Applicant also contends that Kaneshige does not disclose clip information files, wherein each one of the clip information files is associated with each stream file. However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. The video title set cell address table VTS\_C\_ADT is a video title set cell address table, in which cell address information is described (Kaneshige: column 15, lines 60-61). Since the program chain controls playback by mapping the cells to be played in a program (Kaneshige: column 16, lines 28-30), the cell addresses described by the video title set cell address table VTS\_C\_ADT correspond to each stream file (i.e., cell) in the program.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

**6. Claims 1-3, and 12-19, 22, 25, 28, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Kaneshige et al. (US 6360055 B1).**

Re **claim 1**, Kaneshige discloses a reproducing apparatus that both records video data onto and reproduces video data from a computer readable medium having a data structure for managing reproduction of video data having at least one reproduction path video data recorded on the recording medium (Kaneshige: column 1, line 61, through column 2, line 7), comprising: a data area for storing stream files (Kaneshige: Fig. 26, video object set for video title set menu VTSTT\_VOBS), each stream file including video data (Kaneshige: Fig. 26, video object set for video title set menu VTSTT\_VOBS), each stream file associated with one of a portion common to the reproduction paths and a particular reproduction path among the reproduction paths of the video data (Kaneshige: Figs. 9A and 9B); a playlist area for storing a playlist file, the playlist file for identifying the common reproduction path portions and the particular reproduction path to reproduce (Kaneshige: Figs. 26 and 28, program chain information PGCI; column 16, lines 21-33); and a clip information area for storing management information for managing reproduction of the video data (Kaneshige: Fig. 26, video title set information section VTSI is further divided into sections which include a video title set cell address table VTS\_C\_ADT), the management information including clip information files (Kaneshige: column 15, lines 60-61, the video title set cell address table VTS\_C\_ADT is a video title set cell address table, in which cell address information is described), each one of the clip information files being associated with a corresponding stream file (Kaneshige: column 15, lines 60-61, the video title set cell address table VTS\_C\_ADT is a video title set cell address table, in which cell address information is described; column 16, lines 28-30, since the program chain controls

playback by mapping the cells to be played in a program, the cell addresses described by the video title set cell address table VTS\_C\_ADT correspond to each stream file (i.e., cell) in the program), each clip information file for providing a map for the associated stream file (Kaneshige: Fig. 28; column 16, lines 21-27), each map for mapping presentation time information to address information for the associated stream file (Kaneshige: Fig. 28; column 16, line 21, through column 17, line 13; Fig. 28, cell playback information table C\_PBIT; Fig. 29, the cell playback information table includes C\_FVOBU\_SA and C\_ILVU\_EA values for each entry; column 17, lines 11-13 and 23-29, the C\_FVOBU\_SA and C\_ILVU\_EA values indicate start and end addresses for cells in the program chain for playing back the cells), the clip information file, the playlist file and the stream file being logically separate (Kaneshige: Fig. 26, the video title set is divided into sections, wherein the video title set information section VTSI is separate from the video object set VTSTT\_VOBS and the video title set information section VTSI is further divided into sections which include a video title set cell address table VTS\_C\_ADT separate from a video title set program chain information table VTS\_PGCIT).

Re **claim 2**, Kaneshige discloses that the stream files are interleaved (Kaneshige: Figs. 9A and 9B).

Re **claim 3**, Kaneshige discloses that the stream files associated with particular reproduction path are interleaved between the stream files associated with common reproduction path portions (Kaneshige: Figs. 9A and 9B).

**Claim 12** is the corresponding recording method implemented by the apparatus and computer readable medium of claim 1, and, therefore, has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 1 above.

**Claim 13** is the corresponding reproducing method implemented by the apparatus and computer readable medium of claim 1, and, therefore, has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 1 above.

Re **claim 14**, Kaneshige discloses an apparatus for recording a data structure for managing reproduction of video data having at least one reproduction path video data on a recording medium, comprising: an optical recording unit configured to record data on the recording medium (Kaneshige: Fig. 14, pickup driver 104); an encoder configured to encode at least video data having at least one reproduction path (Kaneshige: column 4, lines 23-52); and a controller, coupled to the optical recording unit, configured to control the optical recording unit to record stream files output from the encoder in a data area of the recording medium (Kaneshige: Fig. 14, system controller 204), each stream file including video data (Kaneshige: Fig. 26, video object set for video title set menu VTSTT\_VOBS), each stream file associated with one of a portion common to the reproduction paths and a particular reproduction path among the reproduction paths (Kaneshige: column 4, lines 23-52; Figs. 9A and 9B); the controller configured to the optical recording unit to record a playlist file in a playlist area of the recording medium, the playlist file for identifying the common reproduction path portions and the particular reproduction path portions to reproduce (Kaneshige: Figs. 26 and 28, program chain information PGCI; column 16, lines 21-33); and the controller configured

to control the optical recording unit to record management information for managing reproduction of the video data in clip information files, the clip information files being recorded in a clip information area of the recording medium (Kaneshige: Fig. 26, video title set information section VTSI is further divided into sections which include a video title set cell address table VTS\_C\_ADT), each one of the clip information files being associated with a corresponding stream file (Kaneshige: column 15, lines 60-61, the video title set cell address table VTS\_C\_ADT is a video title set cell address table, in which cell address information is described; column 16, lines 28-30, since the program chain controls playback by mapping the cells to be played in a program, the cell addresses described by the video title set cell address table VTS\_C\_ADT correspond to each stream file (i.e., cell) in the program), each clip information file for providing a map for the associated stream file (Kaneshige: column 15, lines 60-61, the video title set cell address table VTS\_C\_ADT is a video title set cell address table, in which cell address information is described; column 16, lines 23-27, the program chain utilizes cell addresses for controlling playback), each map for mapping presentation time information to address information for the associated stream file (Kaneshige: Fig. 28; column 16, line 21, through column 17, line 13; Fig. 28, cell playback information table C\_PBIT; Fig. 29, the cell playback information table includes C\_FVOBU\_SA and C\_ILVU\_EA values for each entry; column 17, lines 11-13 and 23-29, the C\_FVOBU\_SA and C\_ILVU\_EA values indicate start and end addresses for cells in the program chain for playing back the cells), the clip information file, the playlist file, and the stream file being logically separate (Kaneshige: Fig. 26, the video title set is divided

into sections, wherein the video title set information section VTSI is separate from the video object set VTSTT\_VOBS and the video title set information section VTSI is further divided into sections which include a video title set cell address table VTS\_C\_ADT separate from a video title set program chain information table VTS\_PGCIT).

Re claim 15, Kaneshige discloses an apparatus for reproducing a data structure for managing reproduction of video data having at least one reproduction path recorded on a recording medium, comprising: an optical reproducing unit configured to reproduce data recorded on the recording medium (Kaneshige: Fig. 14, pickup driver 104); a controller, coupled to the optical reproducing unit (Kaneshige: Fig. 14, system controller 204), configured to control the optical reproducing unit to reproduce stream files from the recording medium (Kaneshige: column 4, lines 23-52), each stream file including video data (Kaneshige: Fig. 26, video object set for video title set menu VTSTT\_VOBS), each stream file associated with one of a portion common to the reproduction paths and a particular reproduction path among the reproduction paths (Kaneshige: column 4, lines 23-52; Figs. 9A and 9B); the controller configured to the optical recording unit to reproduce a playlist file from a playlist area of the recording medium, the playlist file for identifying the common reproduction path portions and the particular reproduction path to reproduce (Kaneshige: Figs. 26 and 28, program chain information PGCI; column 16, lines 21-33); and the controller configured to control the optical reproducing unit to reproduce management information for managing reproduction of the video data from clip information files, the clip information files recorded in a clip information area of the recording medium (Kaneshige: Fig. 26, video title set information section VTSI is further

Art Unit: 2621

divided into sections which include a video title set cell address table VTS\_C\_ADT), each one of the clip information files being associated with a corresponding stream file (Kaneshige: column 15, lines 60-61, the video title set cell address table VTS\_C\_ADT is a video title set cell address table, in which cell address information is described; column 16, lines 28-30, since the program chain controls playback by mapping the cells to be played in a program, the cell addresses described by the video title set cell address table VTS\_C\_ADT correspond to each stream file (i.e., cell) in the program), each clip information file for providing a map for the associated stream file (Kaneshige: Fig. 28; column 16, lines 21-27), each map for mapping presentation time information to address information for the associated stream file (Kaneshige: Fig. 28; column 16, line 21, through column 17, line 13; Fig. 28, cell playback information table C\_PBIT; Fig. 29, the cell playback information table includes C\_FVOBU\_SA and C\_ILVU\_EA values for each entry; column 17, lines 11-13 and 23-29, the C\_FVOBU\_SA and C\_ILVU\_EA values indicate start and end addresses for cells in the program chain for playing back the cells), the clip information file, the playlist file, and the stream file being logically separate (Kaneshige: Fig. 26, the video title set is divided into sections, wherein the video title set information section VTSI is separate from the video object set VTSTT\_VOBS and the video title set information section VTSI is further divided into sections which include a video title set cell address table VTS\_C\_ADT separate from a video title set program chain information table VTS\_PGCIT).

Re **claim 16**, Kaneshige discloses that only one stream file is associated with each particular portion representing a same time period of the video data stream (Kaneshige: Figs. 3B and 6; column 7, lines 48-57).

Re **claim 17**, Kaneshige discloses that the video data stream is represented by packets (Kaneshige: Fig. 31); and each map maps presentation time stamps to packet addresses (Kaneshige: Fig. 28; column 17, lines 7-13, the presentation order correlates to entry points).

**Claim 18** has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 17 above.

**Claim 19** has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 3 above.

**Claim 22** has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 3 above.

**Claim 25** has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 3 above.

**Claim 28** has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 3 above.

Re **claim 32**, Kaneshige discloses that the playlist file includes at least one indicator for indicating a reproduction order of the common and particular reproduction path (Kaneshige: column 16, lines 21-27).

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2621

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

**8. Claims 4-11, 20, 21, 23, 24, 26, 27, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaneshige et al. (US 6360055 B1) in view of Inoshita et al. (US 7024102 B1).**

Re claim 4, Kaneshige discloses a majority of the features of claim 4 as discussed above concerning claims 1 and 2, but does not specifically disclose that the stream files have a size to prevent a reproducing apparatus buffer from under-flowing during reproduction of the stream files. However, Inoshita discloses an image data reproducing apparatus in which image data is reproduced from a computer readable medium, which stores multiple camera angles (Inoshita: Fig. 9) wherein all video objects are the same size (Inoshita: column 10, lines 52-56) and a buffer is managed to prevent either overflow or underflow (Inoshita: column 5, lines 45-54). Since both Kaneshige and Inoshita relate to reproducing image data stored on a disc, where the video objects (or cells) are interleaved from multiple camera angles, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to combine their teachings in order to reproduce multiple camera angles simultaneously for enhanced viewing (Inoshita: column 2, lines 27-32). The apparatus of Kaneshige, now implemented in conjunction with the apparatus of Inoshita, has all of the features of claim 4.

Re **claim 5**, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 4 are applicable to claim 5, and, therefore, claim 5 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 4 above.

Re **claim 6**, the apparatus of Kaneshige, now implemented in conjunction with the apparatus of Inoshita, discloses that more than one stream file is associated with a same one of a common reproduction path portion and a particular reproduction path when the one of the common reproduction path portion and the particular reproduction path includes data exceeding a stream file size to prevent the reproducing apparatus buffer from over-flowing during reproduction of the stream files (Inoshita: column 10, lines 52-56, all of the video object blocks are the same size, so when the alternate angle path exceeds the size of one video object block, the path contains more than one video object block), as in the claim.

Re **claim 7**, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 4 are applicable to claim 7, and, therefore, claim 7 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 4 above.

Re **claim 8**, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 6 are applicable to claim 8, and, therefore, claim 8 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 6 above.

Re **claim 9**, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 4 are applicable to claim 9, and, therefore, claim 9 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 4 above.

Re **claim 10**, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 5 are applicable to claim 10, and, therefore, claim 10 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 5 above.

Re **claim 11**, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 6 are applicable to claim 11, and, therefore, claim 11 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 6 above.

**Claim 20** has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 5 above.

**Claim 21** has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 4 above.

**Claim 23** has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 5 above.

**Claim 24** has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 4 above.

**Claim 26** has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 5 above.

**Claim 27** has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 4 above.

**Claim 29** has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 5 above.

**Claim 30** has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 4 above.

### *Conclusion*

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

a. Information processing apparatus and method, recorded medium, and program

Kato et al. (US 20020150383 A1)

b. Apparatus, method, and recording medium implementing audio gap information for an audio presentation discontinuous period

Okada et al. (US 20020031336 A1)

c. Systems and methods with error resilience in enhancement layer bitstream of scalable video coding

Zhang et al. (US 20020021761 A1)

d. Multiangle block reproduction system

Nakai et al. (US 5999698 A)

e. Multi-scene recording medium and apparatus for reproducing data therefrom

Hirayama et al. (US 5732185 A)

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

***Contact***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER FINDLEY whose telephone number is (571)270-1199. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30 AM-5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on 571-272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/702,557  
Art Unit: 2621

Page 16

/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621  
/Christopher Findley/